Analyse, inform and activate



Stichting Laka: Documentatie- en onderzoekscentrum kernenergie

De Laka-bibliotheek

Dit is een pdf van één van de publicaties in de bibliotheek van Stichting Laka, het in Amsterdam gevestigde documentatie- en onderzoekscentrum kernenergie.

Laka heeft een bibliotheek met ongeveer 8000 boeken (waarvan een gedeelte dus ook als pdf), duizenden kranten- en tijdschriftenartikelen, honderden tijdschriftentitels, posters, video's en ander beeldmateriaal. Laka digitaliseert (oude) tijdschriften en boeken uit de internationale antikernenergiebeweging.

De <u>catalogus</u> van de Laka-bibliotheek staat op onze site. De collectie bevat een grote verzameling gedigitaliseerde <u>tijdschriften</u> uit de Nederlandse antikernenergie-beweging en een verzameling <u>video's</u>.

Laka speelt met oa. haar informatievoorziening een belangrijke rol in de Nederlandse anti-kernenergiebeweging.

The Laka-library

This is a PDF from one of the publications from the library of the Laka Foundation; the Amsterdam-based documentation and research centre on nuclear energy.

The Laka library consists of about 8,000 books (of which a part is available as PDF), thousands of newspaper clippings, hundreds of magazines, posters, video's and other material.

Laka digitizes books and magazines from the international movement against nuclear power.

The <u>catalogue</u> of the Laka-library can be found at our website. The collection also contains a large number of digitized <u>magazines</u> from the Dutch anti-nuclear power movement and a video-section.

Laka plays with, amongst others things, its information services, an important role in the Dutch anti-nuclear movement.

Appreciate our work? Feel free to make a small donation. Thank you.



www.laka.org | info@laka.org | Ketelhuisplein 43, 1054 RD Amsterdam | 020-6168294

Get the Facts on High-Level Atomic Waste Storage Casks!

Prepared by Kevin Kamps, Nuclear Waste Specialist, on June 11, 2001.

Nuclear Information & Resource Service, 1424 16th Street, Suite 404, Washington, D.C. 20036

202.328.0002; fax 202.462.2183; nirsnet@nirs.org; www.nirs.org

The Dangers
Past and Present Storage Techniques
Dry Storage Casks
Problems with Dry Cask Storage Surfaced Immediately:

A Meltdown of Democracy, a Retreat from Regulation
Cask Fabrication Before Certification:

Build 'Em First, Ask Questions Later
Bubble, Bubble, Toil and Trouble: Cracks, Corrosion, and Explosion
Atomic Brinksmanship
When in Doubt, Rush Full Speed Ahead Anyway
The First Rule of Holes: When You're in One, Stop Digging
So What's To Be Done?! Leave it in the pools? Ship it away to be buried?
Stop making it!

The Dangers

"Spent" nuclear fuel is a misleading term. Irradiated nuclear fuel rods discharged from commercial nuclear power plants are highly radioactive, a million times more so than when they were first loaded into a reactor core as fresh fuel. If unshielded, irradiated nuclear fuel just removed from a reactor core could deliver a lethal dose of beta, gamma and neutron radiation to a person standing three feet away in less than a second. Even after decades of radioactive decay, a few minutes unshielded exposure time would be enough to deliver a lethal dose. Certain radioactive elements (alpha emitters such as plutonium-239) in "spent" fuel will remain hazardous to humans and other living beings for hundreds of thousands of years. Military high-level radioactive wastes – the highly radioactive liquid and sludge "leftovers" from reprocessing irradiated fuel rods to extract the uranium and plutonium for making nuclear bombs – has the same hazardous characteristics as "spent" commercial fuel. Irradiated fuel rods and high-level nuclear wastes are perhaps the most hazardous poisons ever created. There is the added danger that fissile materials still present in highly radioactive wastes will form a "critical mass," causing an inadvertent nuclear chain reaction that radiates a deadly beam of neutrons and generates enough heat to melt through the container within which it is held. Thus, these wastes must be shielded for centuries, prevented from going critical, and isolated from the living environment for hundreds of millenia.

Past and Present Storage Techniques

With every operational cycle of 18 to 24 months at a U.S. nuclear power plant, the reactor is shut down and approximately one-quarter to one-third of its now extremely radioactive fuel assemblies are removed. These thermally hot and highly radioactive fuel assemblies are then transferred into the plant's irradiated fuel storage pool. These large, indoor water-filled

storage ponds shield much of the high radiation underwater, and allow the assemblies to thermally cool down from the reactor's high operational temperatures.

These pools were originally designed for temporary storage only. Nuclear utilities assumed their high-level wastes would be shipped off for reprocessing to extract fissionable uranium and plutonium for making new fuel rods, or else transported for dumping at a "permanent geologic disposal site" -- an underground national sacrifice area. However, reprocessing of commercial wastes was abandoned in the 1970's as economically unfeasible and a threat of nuclear weapons proliferation (not to mention a source of serious radioactive pollution into water and air), and geologic disposal remains mired in technical and political controversy. Consequently, high-level waste inventories at commercial U.S. nuclear reactors have dramatically mounted in storage pools. After decades of "re-racking" to cram pools as full as possible, growing numbers have filled to capacity. This has complicated continued operations and waste generation at certain reactors, while at the growing number of closed down reactors, irradiated fuel assemblies sit in their storage pools even while the plant sites are dismantled and "decommissioned" around them.

Dry Storage Casks

As pools have filled, the nuclear industry and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) have developed dry cask storage systems, or Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSI's), to expand "interim" storage of wastes both on-site at plants and away from reactors. As of February, 2000 there were 15 operating licensed ISFSI's located in 13 different States. An additional 21 potential ISFSI sites in 15 more States may open in the near future (see U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Spent Fuel Project Office, February 23, 2000 maps).

After a minimum of five years of thermal cooling in "wet" storage pools, irradiated fuel assemblies can be transferred to NRC certified "dry" storage casks made of concrete, steel alloy, and neutron shielding materials. Resembling a giant metallic thermos (solid on one end, open on the other), inner canisters are loaded underwater (to shield workers from the high radiation). The pool water is then pumped out, multiple shield lids are welded on to seal shut the open end, and the interior of the canister is filled with inert helium gas intended to prevent deterioration of the fuel rods from oxidation with air. These canisters are then transported to concrete storage pads either immediately nearby the reactor (an on-site ISFSI), or else away from the reactor at an off-site ISFSI. They are loaded into either horizontal bunkers or vertical silos (depending on the dry cask system) made of concrete, which provide shielding against the gamma and neutron rays that emanate out from within the metallic inner canister.

Natural convection through vents in the concrete silo or bunker provides passive air cooling, but the inner metal canister can reach temperatures of 400 degrees Fahrenheit or higher due to the waste's on-going radioactive decay. NRC approved ISFSI sites can accept irradiated fuel from more than one reactor.

Problems with Dry Cask Storage Surfaced Immediately: A Meltdown of Democracy, a Retreat from Regulation

Under a provision in the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the NRC can approve ISFSI's under a nuclear plant's general operating license. This means even the nominal safeguards for protecting the environment and involving the public -- normally required for licensing a nuclear facility -- are done away with: no site-specific study is required, no environmental impact statement (EIS) is made, and no adjudicatory public hearing process is allowed. The original EIS for the reactor itself – prepared decades earlier, long before ISFSI's were even envisioned – is relied upon for licensing the cask storage site. An environmental assessment is issued by the NRC which automatically finds no adverse impacts on the environment based upon the earlier EIS.

Concerned citizens and community groups regard this "generic licensing process" as a meltdown of democracy. Michigan's Palisades nuclear plant was the first in the U.S. to

receive the go-ahead from NRC to set up an ISFSI under the reactor's general operating license. In May, 1993 NRC allowed Consumers Energy Company to install a dry cask storage site on a sand dune identified by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, the Army Corps of Engineers, and the University of Michigan as a "high risk erosion zone" just 150 yards uphill from the waters of Lake Michigan, a drinking water supply for many millions of people. Whereas the Palisades reactor itself is anchored to bedrock, its 18 dry storage casks fully loaded with irradiated nuclear fuel sit on a three foot thick concrete storage pad, anchored to nothing but shifting sand.

In early 1994, an NRC inspector stated "it's the consequences that might occur from an earthquake that I'm concerned about. The casks can either fall into Lake Michigan or be buried in the loose sand because of liquefaction."

He concluded "It is apparent to me that NMSS [NRC's office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards] doesn't realize the catastrophic consequences of their continued reliance on their current ideology," the generic licensing process. Over six years later, these concerns have not been addressed. NRC continues to generically license IFSFI's, at an accelerating rate. As of June, 2000 \$\$ ISFSI's have been licensed and opened; \$\$ ISFSI's are currently pending approval under NRC review.

Cask Fabrication Before Certification: Build 'Em First, Ask Questions Later

Manufacturers of dry cask systems must go through the NRC's "certificate of compliance" (CoC) process. This covers a host of issues, including the development of the cask design technical specifications, operational limits, maximum radiation dose limits and the condition of irradiated fuel that can be stored inside. NRC has approved \$\$ different dry cask storage systems for use at or away from reactors. NRC cask certification is valid for 20 year intervals, with reviewed extensions available. NRC has stated that dry cask storage is safe and reliable for up to 100 years.

However, problems with dry casks have surfaced not after decades or a century, but almost immediately in the first few years, raising serious questions about the NRC cask certification process itself. Evidence documents that the NRC's CoC process has been taken over by cask manufacturers' and nuclear utilities' profit-driven pressure for expediency.

The consequent lack of rigorous regulatory oversight has resulted in a complete lack of field testing of cask designs, NRC approval for exemptions allowing manufacturers to build casks before receiving the certificate of compliance, and mounting evidence of poor quality assurance and quality control of cask manufacturing. Numerous technical problems with fully loaded dry casks are popping up around the country at an alarming rate, leading to charges from concerned citizen living nearby that ISFSI's represent "nuclear experiments" in their backyard.

Bubble, Bubble, Toil and Trouble: Cracks, Corrosion, and Explosion

A May 28, 1996 explosion at the Point Beach reactor in Wisconsin jolted public confidence in the dry cask storage program. While sealing shut a VSC-24 (a Ventilated Storage Cask built by Sierra Nuclear Corporation (SNC) holding 24 irradiated fuel assemblies), a welding torch ignited pent up hydrogen gas with enough force to dislodge the cask's two ton shield lid several inches in the air and tilt it upright on top of the cask.

After allowing SNC to manufacture several VSC-24 units even before its CoC, NRC certified the cask design in May, 1993. The explosion was later determined to result from an electrochemical reaction between an anti-corrosion zinc liner within the cask and the borated "spent" fuel pool water. The chemical reaction between zinc and acid to generate flammable hydrogen gas -- familiar to many high school chemistry students – somehow escaped the notice of all the "experts" at NRC, the cask manufacturer, and the nuclear utility company. Over a dozen VSC-24 casks had already been loaded around the country before the explosion. Utility employees had observed bubbles in the "spent" fuel pools during these loadings, yet had

failed to understand that they were flammable hydrogen gas and did not report them to the NRC. In fact, a blue flame was observed burning within another VSC-24 loaded at Point Beach previous to the explosion, but had been shrugged off by employees as resulting from excess cleaning solvents and went unreported.

The explosion led to NRC inspecting SNC's cask manufacturing facility, revealing confusion, inadequate testing, and poor quality control. It also led to a three year halt on the loading of VSC-24's in the U.S. so that the NRC, utilities, and the cask manufacturer could get a grip on the situation.

However, the next VSC-24 to be loaded, at Palisades in June, 1999 again experienced two separate "hydrogen burns." Again there was a breakdown in administrative controls. The NRC inspectors, thinking all was in order, had already gone home for the day before the "burns" occurred. A welder ignited a "burn" but did not report it, which led inevitably to a welder on the next shift igniting a second "burn". Days passed before NRC was notified. Just the next week later, a suspicious fire in the dry cask storage administrative office trailer at Palisades destroyed many documents, including those about the recent "burns". Concerned citizens cried foul, but NRC did not cite Palisades for any violations of regulations. In XX, 2001 Palisades officials admitted to the NRC that the very same irradiated fuel that was involved in the hydrogen "burns" had actually cooled for less than five years in the storage pool. Loading it in dry casks had been in violation of federal regulations. Suspiciously, the less-than-five-years-cooled fuel had been evenly distributed between a number of casks, leading critics to charge that the "mistake" had in fact been intentional. However, records pertaining to the suspect loading procedure had been destroyed in the earlier suspicious office fire.

Shortly thereafter, a VSC-24 cask loading at the Trojan nuclear plant in Oregon had to be suspended when so many hydrogen bubbles were generated in the fuel pool that workers could not see well enough to complete the job. In June, 2000 NRC cited the VSC-24's new owner, British Nuclear Fuels, for poor quality control and assurance in cask manufacturing and maintenance.

Obviously, four years since the Point Beach explosion was not long enough for NRC and industry to resolve problems with the VSC-24.

A March, 1997 NRC inspection report revealed another defect with VSC-24's: delayed cracking in welds supposed to seal shut the multiple shield lids on casks at Palisades, Point Beach, and Arkansas One nuclear plants. Such cracks can allow the inert helium gas within the cask to escape, making the irradiated fuel assemblies vulnerable to contact with air, oxidation, and deterioration. Such degradation could lead to serious irradiated fuel handling and transportation problems in the future. Again, weld failure in shield lids was unanticipated and unanalyzed by industry and the NRC. Over the past several years, NRC has identified serious problems in other dry cask systems. Three NUHOMS casks, manufactured by VECTRA Technologies and fully loaded at the Davis Besse nuclear plant in Ohio, were discovered to have been built below technical specifications: the aggregate used to fabricate the casks' outer concrete shells was poor quality, and the shells themselves were ground too thin. In January, 2000 NRC reported that a TN-32 cask (manufactured by Transnuclear, containing 32 irradiated fuel assemblies) at the Surry nuclear plant in Virginia had developed six inch long cracks in its outer concrete shield, loose bolts, and a helium leak. In late May, 2000 NRC discovered an unreported flaw with the neutron shielding material supplied to New Jersey-based cask manufacturer Holtec International by Nuclear Assurance Corporation. Holtec hopes to deploy no less than 4,000 HI-STORM dry casks for use at the

proposed Private Fuel Storage, LLC high-level nuclear waste dump targeted at the tiny, impoverished Skull Valley Goshutes Indian Reservation in Utah. Transportation of irradiated fuel rods to Utah in Holtech HI-STAR containers – the first dual purpose storage/transport cask to be certified by NRC -- from Eastern, Southeastern, and Midwestern reactors would traverse dozens of States, past the homes of millions of Americans, raising unprecedented safety concerns.

In April, 2001 the Sacramento Municipal Utility District halted loading its first Transnuclear West Nuhoms dry storage cask at the Rancho Seco reactor in California due to an unexpected

mishap. A faulty O-ring leaked air underwater in the irradiated fuel storage pool during loading operations, threatening to contaminate the fuel-holding inner canister with radioactive pool water.

Atomic Brinksmanship

The explosion within the VSC-24 took place immediately above 24 irradiated fuel assemblies already loaded into the cask, containing the equivalent amount of long-lasting radioactivity released by 240 Hiroshima-sized atomic bombs; the nearby "spent" fuel pool held many times more radioactivity than that. Although the NRC and utility reported that no radiation was released, no damage was done to the irradiated fuel assemblies in the cask, and no one was injured by the blast, the forceful explosion occurred near the plant's "spent" fuel pool, not a place to "play with fire" or make mistakes with objects weighing many tons. Loaded dry storage casks, weighing more than 100 tons, are among the heaviest loads moved within a reactor during reactor power operation. Human error and equipment failure raise issues of worker and public safety during cask handling and moving activities. Dropping either a loaded or unloaded cask inside the fuel pool building can severely damage plant safety equipment, jeopardizing reactor operation and the cooling of irradiated fuel in the storage pond.

On May 13, 1995 a loaded TN-40 cask became stuck in the hoisted position above the Prairie Island reactor's irradiated fuel storage pool for 16 hours. This incident occurred just after NRC had granted Northern States Power (now Xcel Energy) an exemption from regulatory requirements for reviewing cask loading procedures. Over 120 tons of metal storage cask and irradiated fuel assemblies dangled precariously over 22 years' worth of the reactor's accumulated irradiated fuel assemblies in the pool below – many hundreds of tons of deadly nuclear waste. This dangling "sword of Damocles" risked dropping the back into the pool, damaging irradiated fuel stored there, or punching a hole in the pool leading to a loss of coolant accident and potentially catastrophic consequences. Luckily, nothing happened – that time.

Some reactor designs, such as in G.E. boiling water reactors, have placed the irradiated fuel storage pools several stories up in the reactor building. Consequently, cask movement can place heavy loads up to ten stories high inside the reactor building. A cask drop would send the heavy load crashing down through several floors of the building, with untold safety consequences.

When in Doubt, Rush Full Speed Ahead Anyway

These widespread problems make clear that NRC's high-level waste storage and handling regulations are dangerously inadequate and in need of comprehensive review. Despite this, NRC continues to expedite ISFSI licenses: there are approximately 20 facilities in 14 additional States planning to open ISFSI's in the next five years (see 2/23/2000 NRC Spent Fuel Project Office U.S. maps). In addition, NRC continues to allow vendors to manufacture casks before they have received their certificates of compliance. Once casks are already built, the pressure is on NRC to help "fix" any problems that surface via an "efficient and effective" (i.e., quick, cheap and easy) CoC amendment process, which again locks out involvement of concerned citizens, and leads to changes on the casks that leave NRC itself unsure that its Safety Evaluation Report still applies.

As more and more utilities quickly run out of pool space and seek to store fuel in dry casks or even to transport fuel off-site, NRC certification of cask designs is accelerating: in February, 2000 alone, NRC was engaged in certifying five new cask designs, and beginning review of an additional three applications for cask certification. As Bill Brach, director of the NRC's Spent Fuel Project Office (which is in charge of cask certification) cheerfully reported to the NRC Commissioners in February, 2000, "We've been extremely busy." Given the history of

past mistakes and the current rush job, future certification, manufacturing, and operational mistakes are inevitable.

The First Rule of Holes: When You're in One, Stop Digging

Not a single dry storage cask, once loaded, has ever been unloaded in the U.S. This has led critics to charge that no safe unloading procedure exists. In May, 1993 local environmental groups and the State of Michigan filed for an injunction in federal court against the loading of VSC-24's at Palisades, alleging that there was no proven safe method for unloading the casks. The NRC and Consumers Energy assured the judge that in an emergency, casks could be safely unloaded simply by reversing the loading procedure. Just over a year later, in August, 1994 Consumers Energy discovered that its fourth loaded VSC-24 dry cask had weld flaws. To demonstrate its commitment to public safety and the environment, as well as to live up to its promise to the judge, Consumers announced it would unload the irradiated fuel in the cask back into the storage pool. Only then were the difficulties discovered.

Reintroducing the 400 degree Fahrenheit fuel assemblies back into the 100 degree fuel pool water would result in a radioactive steam flash hazardous to workers, and would thermally shock the fuel assemblies threatening to further degrade them. Also, the welded-shut inner canister would have to be cut open in a timeframe of less than 50 hours, for the cooling process could not be maintained during the cutting procedure and the fuel within would begin to overheat. In addition, there was no procedure yet developed to remove steel shims that were pressure fit inside the cask lid. Rather than leading to a pause for reflection, however, Consumers rushed to immediately load nine more VSC-24's, a move taken by local concerned citizens to be in very bad faith. Seven years after Consumers announced it would unload the defective cask #4, it still sits fully loaded on the Lake Michigan shoreline, alongside 17 more fully loaded VSC-24's.

The Prairie Island Mdewakanton Dakota Tribe in Minnesota petitioned the NRC to prohibit Northern States Power from loading any more TN-40 casks until a safe unloading procedure had been demonstrated, but to no avail. \$\$ dry casks sit fully loaded just several hundred yards from the nearest homes and a tribal child care center on this tiny island on a flood plain in the middle of the Mississippi River.

Adding further to worries about cask unloading, corrosion between the metallic inner canister and the metallic lining of the outer shell of VSC-24 's could cause a bonding together that would be very difficult to pry apart. Even if the casks were to malfunction, or the waste to leak, or a repository to open that could accept the wastes, it remains unclear whether dry casks could be safely unloaded back into fuel storage pools or into transport casks for shipment off-site.

So What's To Be Done?! Leave it in the pools? Ship it away to be buried? Stop making it!

High-level nuclear waste presents us with an unprecedented dilemma – poisons that remain deadly for hundreds of thousands of years. If dry cask storage is so problematic, why not keep the wastes in wet storage pools? Wastes are dangerous there too, for cooling pumps must operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, for decades. Without pumps circulating cooling water, the thermally hot waste could boil away the pool water in a matter of hours. A recent NRC report admitted that even decades-cooled irradiated fuel could spontaneously combust if overheated or on contact with air. A pool fire could release disastrous amounts of radioactivity to the environment. A puncture of a pool and consequent loss of water could lead to similar catastrophic consequences. For this reason, many see dry cask storage as safer than wet pool storage. Dry casks have no moving parts, and individually contain smaller amounts of high-level waste than cram-packed pools. The word safer is relative, for high-level nuclear waste is dangerous no matter how it is stored.

If irradiated fuel rods are dangerous in pools and dry casks, then why not ship them to the proposed Yucca Mountain site in Nevada for burial? For one thing, Yucca Mountain is not a scientifically suitable site. Yucca Mountain is an active earthquake zone, prone to volcanic activity. Yucca leaks water like a sieve into the aquifer below, the sole source of drinking water for nearby farming communities. If waste were buried there, it would eventually leak into that drinking water, harming people downstream. In addition, shipping many tens of thousands of irradiated fuel casks cross country through 43 States, through major metropolitan areas and America's breadbasket, past the homes of 50 million Americans carries unprecedented risks. The transport containers have been inadequately safety tested, most emergency responders are poorly trained and equipped for dealing with a radiation accident, and the health and economic impacts of a radiation release would be immense. Going forward with Yucca Mountain and such cross country transportation is ill-conceived and would make the nuclear waste dilemma worse, not better.

An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. The U.S. must stop generating radioactive waste. There is currently more than 40,000 tons of irradiated nuclear fuel rods piled up at nuclear reactors across the U.S. If currently operating reactors continue generating waste until the end of their 40 year licenses, the mountain of waste will more than double in size. If old reactors are allowed to extend their operating lifetimes from 40 to 60 years, the amount of waste will increase still more. If new nuclear reactors are built, yet more waste would be produced. Nuclear power must be phased out and replaced with safer, cheaper, cleaner ways to meet our electricity needs: conservation, efficiency, and renewable sources such as wind, solar, and fuel cells.

Prepared by Kevin Kamps, Nuclear Waste Specialist, on June 11, 2001. Documentation available upon request.

Nuclear Information & Resource Service, 1424 16th Street, Suite 404, Washington, D.C. 20036 202.328.0002; fax 202.462.2183; nirsnet@nirs.org; www.nirs.org